

Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

# Hygrothermal Behaviour of Sealed and Unsealed Composite Specimens

G. Mahendra, P. Ashok Reddy, K. Srividya, M. Naga Swapna Sri, Ch. Mohan Sumanth

Student (M.Tech), Department of Mechanical Engineering, Prasad V Potluri Siddhartha Institute of Technology, Vijayawada, India.

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Vignan Institute of Technology and Science, JNTU, Hyderabad, India.

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Prasad V Potluri Siddhartha Institute of Technology, Vijayawada, India.

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Prasad V Potluri Siddhartha Institute of Technology,

Vijayawada, India.

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Prasad V Potluri Siddhartha Institute of Technology,

Vijayawada, India.

**ABSTRACT:** In the present work, the hygrothermal behaviour of sealed and unsealed composite specimens are studied. In this, the composite used is E-glass and epoxy resin, manufactured by compression molding was investigated as a function of temperature after direct wetting in saline medium for varying periods. For the composite preparation, ARADUR HY951 used as hardener with ARALDITE LY556. From the experimental work, moisture gain trends for different temperatures which vary with time. In that, hot setting laminate having good strength when compared to cold setting laminate and also states that, sealed specimens having high strength when compared to unsealed specimens. Finally result in; the percentage variation of behaviour is high in unsealed laminates. It is hoped that generation of such data will help in determining the active service life of products, beyond which, they need to be discarded to prevent catastrophic failures.

KEYWORDS: Hygrothermal behaviour, Compression molding, Composite specimen

### I. INTRODUCTION

Composite material is a material composed of two or more distinct phases (matrix phase and dispersed phase) and having bulk properties significantly different from that of its constituents. These composite applications touch several engineering fields like marine, land transportation (automobiles, railways) construction, structural elements in machinery, electrical, wind energy, material transportation piping systems, leisure & sports goods, aircraft interiors, military aerospace etc.

Yasushi Miyano and Masayuki Nakada [1] are deals with the prediction of long-term fatigue life of various FRP laminates combined with resins, fibers and fabrics for marine use under temperature and water environments based on the time-temperature superposition principle (TTSP). Autar K. Kaw [2] introduced the first edition of Mechanics of Composite Materials, the ground breaking PROMAL software, a valuable tool for designing and analyzing structures made of composite materials. R.O. Ochola, K. Marcus and T. Franz [3] are presented the choice of composite materials as a substitute for metallic materials in technological applications is becoming more pronounced especially due to the great weight savings these materials offer. J.Tong [4] studied multiple fatigue crack growth behaviour has in quasi-isotropic GFRP laminates under constant amplitude fatigue loading conditions. Characteristics of fatigue crack growth in off-axis plies have been described and comparisons have been made between quasi-static and fatigue crack growth behaviour. Y. Miyano and M.Nakada [5] are presented the accelerated testing methodology has been proposed for the long-term durability of polymer composites based on the time-temperature superposition principle to be held for the



### Vol. 1, Issue 4, November 2014

viscoelasticity of polymer matrix. V.K. Srivastava [6] investigated the effects of water immersion on mechanical properties as flexural strength, interlaminar shear strength and impact energy of aluminium tri-hydrate and polyethylene filled and unfilled quasi-isotropic glass fibre reinforced epoxy vinylester resin composites (GFRP). G. Mishra, S.R. Mohapatra and P.R. Behera [7] are investigated the effect of thermal and cryogenic treatment on hygrothermally conditioned glass fibre reinforced epoxy matrix composites, and the impact on its mechanical properties with change in percentage of individual constituents of the laminates.J.L.V Coelho and J.M.L.Reis [8] are presented the mechanical response of a composite material based on glass fibers embedded in an epoxy resin was experimentally studied as a function of strain rate and temperature. Ichsan setya putra and Djoko Suharto are analyzed a manufacturing process for glass fiber reinforced plastics (GFRP) to improve volume fraction of fibers and mechanical properties. S.B.Singh and Himanshu Chawla are presented an experimental investigation of the effect of cutouts on the natural frequency and damping of the plate composite laminates were made from unidirectional glass fiber with stacking sequence of  $(0/90)_{s}$ . P.Sampath Rao, M. Manzoor Husain and D.V. Ravi Shankar [11] are studied the properties of the reinforcement materials which are highly hygroscopic, the matrix material which provides protection to the reinforcement.

### **II.EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION**

#### A.Composite laminate

All the laminates thus prepared were of the dimension (after removal of the edges): length of the laminate is 380mm, Height (width) is 340mm and average thickness is 3.5mm for hot setting. The other specifications of the specimens were (250\*25\*3.75) mm in case of cold setting according to the standards. Immense care has been taken while cutting as to get all the specifications isotropically. As many as 72 specimens have been manufactured to facilitate the testing.

#### **B.**Testing Parameters

The testing takes into consideration many factors that seriously brings out a change in the mechanical properties of the composite specimen. There are two kinds of testing parameters:

- 1) Fixed parameters
- **Relative Humidity** •
- Cross head speed of the UTM machine (Strain rate of the specimen). •
- 2) Variable parameters
- Concentration of the bath.
- 5% salinity solution Temperature of the environment.
- √ Ambient room temperature at 32°C
- √ √ Elevated temperature of 50°C
- Elevated temperature of 85°C
- Time duration of exposure to degrading environment
- √ 1hr, 5hr, 10hr for ambient temperature
- ✓ 30mins, 60mins, 90mins for elevated temperatures

#### C. Cold setting

The volume fraction of fiber for a cold setting laminate is obtained around 48.582996% in the total composite.

#### D. Hot setting

The volume fraction of fiber for a hot setting laminate is obtained around 56.6780373% in the total composite

#### E. Exposing the specimens to Degrading Environment

The specimens thus made, are exposed to degrading environment, i.e. Brine Solution of different concentrations. According to the literature, the sea water that has the highest salinity has 2% to 5% salinity in it. Hence, this research essentially throws light on the behaviour in similar conditions. By simulating the real- time conditions; the research has been carried out in two different concentrations of brine:

Study of tensile stress behaviour in 5% Brine solution.





### Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

### F. 5% Brine Solution Bath

A 5% brine solution bath is made in the exposure chamber designed. This 5% brine solution is heated to required temperature using the heater element attached with the thermostat.

A total of 72 specimens are used for analysing the hygrothermal behaviour. The study is carried out at 3 different temperatures- Ambient temperature, 50°C and 85°C. For each of the temperatures 12 specimens (6 sealed and 6 unsealedspecimens) are used as shown in table1.

Bath temperature	Holding time	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen
	1 hour	2	2
Ambient temperature (32°c)	5 hours	2	2
(02-0)	10 hours	2	2
	30 minutes	2	2
50°c	60 minutes	2	2
	90 minutes	2	2
	30 minutes	2	2
85°c	60 minutes	2	2
	90 minutes	2	2
Total no of maximum		18	18
Total no of specimen		3	6

Table1. Number of specimens at different temperatures

### **III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

### **A.Moisture Gain calculations**

Moisture gain plays a pivotal role in the behaviour of mechanical properties. Gravimetric trends monitoring the weight change of a material over time allow for the interpretation of diffusion phenomena through the application of diffusion models. Knowledge of the process of water sorption in a polymer composite provides for an understanding of physical processes which occur as the water and constituent elements interact. When considering the uptake of water in material exposed to humid air and liquid water, it is assumed that the only absorbing substance is water molecules. The apparent moisture content at some time t, Mt, is calculated using the initial weight after pre-conditioning Wo and the "wet" weight after environmental exposure Ww

$$Mt = \frac{(Ww - Wo)}{Wo}$$

The weights of all specimens before and after the dipping are tabulated in tables 2 - 13. The following shows the moisture gain in grams and percentage w.r.t the original weight of the specimen.

Table2.	5% brine solut	tion at ambient ter	nperature of hot	t setting ten	sile test specimen
---------	----------------	---------------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------

Dipping time of	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)		Weight of spe dippin		Moisture gain %	
specimen	Unsealed	Sealed	Unsealed	Sealed	Unsealed	Sealed
	specimen	specimen	specimen	specimen	specimen	specimen
Dipping time 1 hour	23.9360	23.934	23.9369	23.9349	0.0037%	0.0021%



### Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

Dipping time 5 hours	23.008	24.548	23.009	24.5489	0.0043%	0.0036%
Dipping time 10 hours	23.691	23.869	23.699	23.872	0.0337%	0.0125%

Table3. 5% brine solution at 50°C of hot setting tensile test specimen								
Dipping time of	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)		Weight of specimen after dipping(w)		Moisture gain %			
specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen		
Dipping time 30 minutes	22.848	23.776	22.854	23.780	0.0262%	0.0168%		
Dipping time 60minutes	23.731	23.257	23.741	23.265	0.0421%	0.0344%		
Dipping time 90 minutes	24.386	24.663	24.398	24.663	0.0492%	0.0405%		

### Table4. 5% brine solution at 85°C of hot setting tensile specimen

Dipping time	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)		U	specimen after ping(w)	Moisture gain %	
of specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen
Dipping time 30 minutes	23.311	23.098	23.328	23.114	0.0729%	0.0692%
Dipping time 60minutes	23.933	24.351	23.960	24.374	0.1128%	0.0944%
Dipping time 90 minutes	23.669	24.548	23.709	24.584	0.1689%	0.1466%

### Table5. 5% brine solution at ambient temperature of hot setting short beam shear strength specimen

Dipping time of	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)		Weight of specimen after dipping(w)		Moisture gain %	
specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen
Dipping time 1 hour	5.922	5.404	5.923	5.4049	0.01685%	0.0166%
Dipping time 5 hours	5.386	6.314	5.388	6.316	0.0371%	0.0316%
Dipping time 10 hours	5.440	6.865	5.444	6.868	0.0735%	0.0434%

### Table6. 5% brine solution at 50°C of hot setting short beam shear strength specimen

Dipping time of specimen	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)		Weight of sp dippir		Moisture gain %	
Dipping time of specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen
Dipping time 30 minutes	5.437	5.874	5.439	5.875	0.0367%	0.0170%
Dipping time 60minutes	5.446	5.928	5.449	5.930	0.0550%	0.0337%
Dipping time 90 minutes	6.192	5.361	6.196	5.364	0.0645%	0.0559%



### Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

### Table7. 5% brine solution at 85°C of hot setting short beam shear strength specimen

Dipping time	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)			becimen after ng(w)	Moisture gain %	
of specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen
Dipping time 30 minutes	5.367	5.358	5.373	5.362	0.1117%	0.0746%
Dipping time 60minutes	6.051	5.367	6.060	5.373	0.1487%	0.1117%
Dipping time 90 minutes	5.397	5.354	5.411	5.362	0.2594%	0.1494%

### Table8. 5% brine solution at ambient temperature of cold setting tensile specimen

Dipping time of	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)		Weight of specimen after dipping(w)		Moisture gain %	
specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen
Dipping time 1 hour	25.429	25.719	25.427	25.719	-0.0078%	0%
Dipping time 5 hours	25.476	25.477	25.472	25.476	-0.0235%	-0.0039%
Dipping time 10 hours	25.637	25.586	25.630	25.584	-0.0273%	-0.0078%

### Table9. 5% brine solution at 50°C of cold setting tensile specimen

Dipping time of	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)			Weight of specimen after dipping(w)		Moisture gain %	
specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	
Dipping time 30 minutes	24.949	25.721	24.947	25.720	-0.0080%	-0.0038%	
Dipping time 60minutes	25.216	25.976	25.212	25.974	-0.0158%	-0.0077%	
Dipping time 90 minutes	25.715	25.859	25.710	25.856	-0.0194%	-0.0116%	

### Table10. 5% brine solution at 85°C of cold setting tensile specimen

Dipping time	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)			becimen after ng(w)	Moisture gain %	
of specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen
Dipping time 30 minutes	25.501	26.040	25.492	26.034	-0.0353%	-0.0230%
Dipping time 60minutes	25.297	25.804	25.281	25.789	-0.0632%	-0.0581%
Dipping time 90 minutes	24.582	25.902	24.565	25.883	-0.0691%	-0.0733%



### Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

		1 1 1	11 .
Table 1 5% brine solution at ambient	temperature of cold	i setting short beam s	hear strength snecimen
Table11. 5% brine solution at ambient	temperature of cold	i setting short beam s	mear strength speetmen

Dipping time	Weight of spe dippin	ecimen before ng (W)	Weight of sp dippin	ecimen after ng(w)	Moisture gain %	
of specimen	UnsealedSealedUnsealedSealedspecimenspecimenspecimenspecimen		Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen		
Dipping time 1 hour	6.311	6.280	6.311	6.280	0%	0%
Dipping time 5 hours	6.076	6.319	6.075	6.319	-0.0164%	0%
Dipping time 10 hours	6.295	6.199	6.293	6.198	-0.0317%	-0.0161%

Table12. 5% brine solution at 50°C of cold setting short beam shear strength specimen

Dipping time	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)			ecimen after ng(w)	Moisture gain %	
of specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen			Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen
Dipping time 30 minutes	6.366	6.418	6.364	6.418	-0.0314%	0%
Dipping time 60 minutes	6.006	6.185	6.003	6.183	-0.0499%	-0.0323%
Dipping time 90 minutes	6.313	6.139	6.309	6.136	-0.0633%	-0.0488%

Table13. 5% brine solution at 85°C of cold setting short beam shear strength specimen

Dipping time of specimen	Weight of specimen before dipping (W)		Weight of specimen after dipping(w)		Moisture gain %	
	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen	Unsealed specimen	Sealed specimen
Dipping time 30 minutes	6.085	6.135	6.081	6.133	-0.0657%	-0.0325%
Dipping time 60 minutes	6.116	6.595	6.110	6.592	-0.0981%	-0.0454%
Dipping time 90 minutes	5.981	6.272	5.973	6.267	-0.1337%	-0.0797%

### B. Behaviour of Strength with lapse of time under hygrothermal conditions:

Results for the tensile strength deterioration for specimens dipped in 5% brine solution

The specimens are subjected to **direct dipping** in a 5% brine solution bath for different time durations. Bath maintained at 500°C and 850°C were held for 30mins, 60mins and 90mins and are then cleaned with a blotting paper and then tested in a UTM. For all the varying parameters, it was observed that, there is a considerable, though not uniform, decrease in the tensile strength of the material after exposition. The following tables 14 - 17 show the trend of decrease of tensile strength for sealed and unsealed specimens according to the change in the parameters like, time and temperature.



### Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

Table14. Hot-setting Laminate Tensile Test

		$C \rightarrow 1$	Tensile stren	gth (Mpa)
Bath temperature	Holding time	Control value	Unsealed	Sealed
	1 hour	476.19	438.09	457.14
Ambient temperature	5 hours	476.19	419.05	438.09
	10 hours	476.19	400.00	419.05
	30 minutes	476.19	413.14	427.11
50°C	60 minutes	476.19	392.15	407.41
	90 minutes	476.19	371.40	389.97
85°C	30 minutes	476.19	389.43	408.54
	60 minutes	476.19	370.37	392.16
	90 minutes	476.19	352.83	373.48

### Table15. Cold-setting Laminate Tensile Test

Path tomporature	Holding time	Control value	Tensile strength		
Bath temperature	notuling tille	Control value	Unsealed	Sealed	
	1 hour	424.77	391.11	408.88	
Ambient temperature	5 hours	424.77	373.33	390.07	
	10 hours	424.77	355.55	372.34	
	30 minutes	424.77	371.35	388.00	
50°C	60 minutes	424.77	353.67	370.37	
	90 minutes	424.77	335.98	352.73	
	30 minutes	424.77	351.80	368.42	
85°C	60 minutes	424.77	334.21	350.87	
	90 minutes	424.77	316.62	333.33	

### Table16. Hot-setting short beam shear test

Bath temperature	Holding time	Control value	Short beam shear strength (Mpa)		
L L	C		Unsealed	Sealed	
	1 hour	31.42	30.14	31.10	
Ambient temperature	5 hours	31.42	29.22	30.55	
	10 hours	31.42	28.07	29.65	
	30 minutes	31.42	29.76	30.75	
50°C	60 minutes	31.42	28.65	29.85	
	90 minutes	31.42	27.43	28.79	
85°C	30 minutes	31.42	28.76	29.93	
	60 minutes	31.42	27.43	28.72	
	90 minutes	31.42	26.15	27.45	

### Table17. Cold setting short beam shear test

Path temperature	Holding time	Control value	Short beam shear strength		
Bath temperature	Holding time	Control value	Unsealed	Sealed	
	1 hour	26.76	24.62	25.75	
Ambient temperature	5 hours	26.76	23.47	24.64	
	10 hours	26.76	22.09	23.39	
	30 minutes	26.76	23.52	24.75	
50°C	60 minutes	26.76	22.25	23.57	
	90 minutes	26.76	20.79	22.16	
	30 minutes	26.76	22.37	23.62	
85°C	60 minutes	26.76	21.01	22.25	
	90 minutes	26.76	19.89	20.85	



### Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

The percentage behaviour in tensile strength of the hot and cold specimen results are shown in tables 18-21. In these tables top values indicate unsealed specimen and bottom values indicate sealed specimens.

Table16. Hot setting Tenshe suengui									
Holding time	% gain in weight after exposure			Tensile Strength after exposure. (Mpa)			% Behaviour in tensile strength		
time	32°c	50°c	85°c	32°c	50°c	85°c	32°c	50°c	85°c
After first holding time	0.0037% 0.0021%	0.0262% 0.0168%	0.0729% 0.0692%	438.09 457.14	413.14 427.11	389.43 408.54	8.0010% 4.0000%	13.2405% 10.3068%	18.2196% 14.2065%
After second holding time	0.0043% 0.0036%	0.0421% 0.0344%	0.1128% 0.0944%	419.05 437.19	392.15 407.41	370.37 392.16	11.9994 % 8.1900%	17.6484% 14.4438%	22.2222% 17.6463%
After third holding time	0.0337% 0.0125%	0.0492% 0.0405%	0.1689% 0.1466%	400.00 416.27	371.40 389.97	352.83 373.48	15.9999 % 12.5832 %	22.0059% 18.1062%	25.9056% 21.5691%

Table18. Hot setting Tensile strength

Table19. Cold	setting tensile strength
---------------	--------------------------

Holding time	% gain in weight after exposure			Tensile Strength after exposure. (Mpa)		% Behaviour in tensile strength			
	32°c	50°c	85°c	32°c	50°c	85°c	32°c	50°c	85°c
After first holding time	-0.0078% 0%	-0.0080% -0.0038%	-0.0353% -0.0230%	391.11 408.88	371.35 388.00	351.80 368.42	7.9242% 3.7408%	12.5762% 8.6564%	17.1787% 13.2660%
After second holding time	-0.0235% -0.0039%	-0.0158% -0.0077%	-0.0632% -0.5810%	373.33 390.07	353.67 370.37	334.21 350.87	12.1108% 8.1691%	16.7384% 12.8069%	21.3197% 17.3976%
After third holding time	-0.0273% -0.0078%	-0.0194% -0.0116%	-0.0691% -0.7330%	355.55 372.34	335.98 352.73	316.62 333.33	16.2958% 12.3431%	20.9030% 16.9597%	25.4608% 21.5269%

Table20.	Hot setting	Short beam	shear	strength

Holding time	% gain in weight after exposure				e Strength exposure. (Mpa)	after	% Behaviour in tensile strength		
	32°c	50°c	85°c	32°c	50°c	85°c	32°c	50°c	85°c
After first holding time	0.0168% 0.0166%	0.0367% 0.0170%	0.1117% 0.0746%	30.14 31.1	29.76 30.75	28.76 29.93	4.0738% 1.0184%	5.2832% 2.1323%	8.4659% 4.7422%



### Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

After second holding time	0.0371% 0.0316%	0.0550% 0.0337%	0.1487% 0.1117%	29.22 30.55	28.65 29.85	27.43 28.72	7.0019% 2.7689%	8.8160% 4.9968%	12.6989% 8.5932%
After third holding time	0.0735% 0.0434%	0.0645% 0.0559%	0.2594% 0.1494%	28.07 29.65	27.43 28.79	26.15 27.45	10.6619% 5.6333%	12.6989% 8.3704%	16.7727% 12.6352%

Table21. Cold setting Short beam shear strength

Holding time	% gain in weight after exposure			Tensile Strength after exposure.			% Behaviour in tensile strength		
	32°c	50°c	85°c	32°c	50°c	85°c	32°c	50°c	85°c
After first holding time	0% 0%	-0.0314% 0%	-0.0657% -0.0325%	24.62 25.75	23.52 24.75	22.37 23.62	7.9970% 3.7742%	12.1076% 7.5112%	16.4050% 11.7339%
After second holding time	-0.0164% 0%	-0.0499% -0.0323%	-0.0981% -0.0454%	23.47 24.64	22.25 23.57	21.01 22.25	12.2944% 7.9222%	16.8535% 11.9207%	21.4872% 16.8535%
After third holding time	-0.0317% -0.0161%	-0.0633% -0.0488%	-0.1337% -0.0797%	22.09 23.39	20.79 22.16	19.89 20.85	17.45% 12.5934%	22.3094% 17.1898%	25.6726% 22.0852%

#### **IV.CONCLUSION**

The main aim of this dissertation lies in finding the durable life of the composite structure under degrading environment. From this experiment conducted, Moisture gain trends for different temperatures which vary with time. Hot setting laminate is proved experimentally to have good strength when compared to cold setting laminate. Similarly, the sealed specimens having high strength when compared to unsealed specimens. It also states that, the percentage variation of behaviour is high in unsealed laminates when compared to sealed specimens. Actually I used cold setting hardener for preparing laminate, this laminate cured at room temperature within 24 hours, but this curing at  $200^{\circ}$ c in 1 hour. So, the percentage gain values in negative form. In this project % of behaviour is high at  $85^{\circ}$ c in both sealed and unsealed specimens, because of at high temperature the specimen gain more moisture compare to below temperatures.

#### REFERENCES

[6] V.K Srivastava, "Influence of water immersion on and engineering, Vol. 263, 2000.

<sup>[1]</sup> Yashushi Miyano and Masayuki Nakada, "Long term durability and damage tolerance of innovative marine composites", Technical report, Material systems laboratory, Japan, 2006.

<sup>[2]</sup> Autar K. Kaw, "A text book on Mechanics of Composite Materials", Second Edition.

<sup>[3]</sup> R.O. Ochola, K. Marcus and T. Franz, "Mechanical behaviour of glass and carbon fiber reinforced composites at varying strain rates", Composite structures, Vol. 63, 2004.

<sup>[4]</sup> J. Tong, "Characteristics of fatigue crack growth in GFRP laminates", International journal of fatigue, Vol. 24, 2002.

<sup>[5]</sup> Y. Miyano and M.Nakada, "Accelerated testing for long term durability of FRP laminates for marine use", Journal of composite materials, 2006.[6] V.K Srivastava, "Influence of water immersion on mechanical properties of quasi isotropic glass fiber reinforced epoxy vinylester resin composites", Material science

<sup>[7]</sup> G.Mishra, S.R. Mohapatra and P.R. Behera, "Environmental stability of GFRP laminated composites: an emphasis on mechanical behavior", Aircraft engineering and aerospace technology, Vol. 82, 2010.



### Vol. 1, Issue 4 , November 2014

[8] J.L.V Coelho and J.M.L.Reis, "Effects of strain rate and temperature on the mechanical properties of GFRP composites", Thermal Engineering, Vol. 10, 2011.
[9] Ichsan setya putra and Djoko Suharto, "Mechanical properties of GFRP laminates manufactured by process combined with wet layup and vacuum curing", Fracture and strength of Solids – VI, 2006.

[10] S.B.Singh and Himanshu Chawla, "Dynamic Characteristics of GFRP laminates with cut outs, International journal of applied engineering and research", Vol. 7, 2012.

[11] P. Sampath Rao, M. Manzoor Hussain and D.V. Ravi Shankar, "An Investigation strength behaviour of GFRP laminates under environmental impact", International Journal of Composite materials, 2012.